

Engineering Committee Meeting Minutes
San Juan Water District
May 15, 2024
4:00 p.m.

Committee Members: Dan Rich, Chair
Ken Miller, Member

District Staff: Paul Helliker, General Manager
Tony Barela, Director of Operations
Donna Silva, Director of Finance
Andrew Pierson, Director of Engineering Services
Adam Larsen, Field Services Manager
Mark Hargrove, Senior Engineer
Teri Grant, Board Secretary/Administrative Assistant

Topics: “On-call” Geotechnical and Material Testing Services (W & R)
Hinkle Reservoir Liner and Cover Replacement Project (W)
Douglas Blvd and Auburn Folsom Road Pipeline Replacement Project (R)
Other Engineering Matters
Public Comment

1. “On-call” Geotechnical and Material Testing Services (W & R)

Mr. Hargrove provided a written staff report which will be included in the Board packet. He explained that staff expects an additional \$30,000 will be needed for special inspection services for the construction of Lime Silo and Thickener Project and soil testing services for the construction of the FY 22/23 Services Replacement Project, FY 23/24 Air Release Valve Replacement Project, and Douglas Blvd/Auburn Road Pipeline Crossing.

The Engineering Committee recommends consideration of a motion by the Board to authorize and approve Amendment No. 2 with Youngdahl Consulting Group, Inc. for “on-call” geotechnical and material testing services

2. Hinkle Reservoir Liner and Cover Replacement Project (W)

Mr. Pierson provided a written staff report which will be included in the Board packet. He explained that there are some additional engineering support services required on the rainwater collection system, HDR estimates they will exceed the Board authorized budget by \$12,748.55. This estimate includes time to finalize the as-built drawings and project close-out.

The Engineering Committee recommends consideration of a motion by the Board to authorize and approve a contract amendment with HDR Engineering, Inc. for the Hinkle Reservoir Liner and Cover Replacement Project

3. Douglas Blvd and Auburn Folsom Road Pipeline Replacement Project (R)

Mr. Pierson provided a written staff report which will be included in the Board packet. He explained that the work will be performed in coordination with work that Placer County will be completing, which will drastically reduce the cost of the project. He reported that Soracco

was the lowest responsive, responsible bidder and their bid documents were reviewed and found to be complete and in order, including license, insurance, and bonds.

Director Miller voiced concern regarding traffic patterns, time of construction and safety issues. Mr. Pierson informed the committee that the District received the encroachment permit from Placer County and the work hours were defined from 8:30 am to 3:30 pm. He also explained that the traffic control plan will be submitted by the contractor and forwarded to Placer County for approval.

The Engineering Committee recommends consideration of a motion by the Board to authorize and approve the award of a construction contract to apparent lowest responsive and responsible bidder for the Douglas Blvd and Auburn Folsom Road Pipeline Replacement Project

4. Other Engineering Matters

Director Rich inquired about the status of the new Administration Building and the charging stations. Mr. Pierson explained that the design for the new facility was originally scheduled for FY 2024-25; however, the design was delayed until discussions regarding any potential consolidation with Sacramento Suburban Water District were completed. Mr. Barela explained that the charging stations are part of that design and since our plan to implement the Clean Fleet Rule does not require charging stations to be installed until a later date, the delay is not an issue. Ms. Silva informed the committee that there are some federal “rebates” for installing the charging stations that will not be affected by any delay. Mr. Pierson informed the committee that the temporary water tanks are anticipated to be removed in FY 2025-26. Ms. Silva informed the committee that there are some facility storage needs that are addressed in the FY 2024-25 budget which the Board will review at the May Board meeting.

5. Public Comment

There were no public comments.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:22 p.m.