In the superior Court of the County of abramento, State of Californis.

C. W. Clarke, H. G. Smith, and A. N. * Buchanan,

Defendants. *


This cause came on regularly for trial on the leth doy of July, 1898, before Hon. Joseph … Huches, Juage of said Court, sitting in Department Number One thereof, without a jury, a jury having been expressily waived.
L. T. Hatfield, Esq., appeared as Attorney for Pleintiff, and Catlin, Shinn \& Catiin, as Attorneys for Defondants, and from the evidence introduced, tho court finds the facts as follows, to-wit:

## 1.

That the plaintiff is the owmer of, and in possession of a canal and dan across the American River; that plaintiff's right thereto commenced Vay 8 th, 18 ? $?$, by civing due notice thereof, and said dam and cannl were completed so as to make use of the water theroin in Jomuary 1893, and were fully completed July loth, 1895. Sairl dam is situated at the point described in the complaint, which is about one mile below the junction of the North Fork and the South Fork of the said American River, and said cmal is about 9,000 feet long, extending from said dom to the toun of Folsorn.

Platntiff has divowtod from seid monicon ?lare, and into said canal only 50,000 cuhic fect of woter woi minute, and has used the sane for beroficinl murnoses; and rlaintiff's appliences require ot, 000 cubic feet, of water per minute through soid conal at this time.
3.

The dam of defendments is across the North Fork of the American River at a point as alleged in said complaint, ani at a distance of ebout twenty-two miles above the dam of plaintiff.

## 4.

Defendants' grantors, a long time prior to any appropriation by plaintiff or its grantors, to-vit: In tho year 1854, entered upon the said North Fork of the Arerican River and constructed said dam and canal and diverted 3,000 inches of the waters of said River, measured under a four-inch pressure, equal to 3,600 cubic feet per minute, measured immediately bolov tho first waste gate in csid conel below said dam, for sale, rental and distribution for mining, mechonical and agricultural purposes, and aver since have used, distributed and sold the sane for surh purposes. 5.

That dofendants have not at any time, since the appropriation by plaintiff, diverted from said stream a quantily -i.
of water greater than three thousand inches measured under a four-inch pressure.

## 6.

That plaintiff hos not been donased hy eny liversion

```
of said motra by dermments.
```

$$
7 \cdot
$$

The low water season mentioned in the complaint during which plaintiff is alleged to take all of the natural flow of the American River does not extend through the months of June or November, but does extend through the months of August, September and October of the average years.

## *\% Conclusions of Lav. $\# \%$.

## 1.

The defendants are entitled to, and have, a prox namepriation of three thousand inches of the waters of the North Fork of the American River, measured under a four-iroh pressuse, taken immediately below the first waste cate below the in. dam, for sale, rental anddistribution for agricultural, mining and mechanical purposes at all seasons of each year.

## 2.

'That plaintiff' is not entitle a to recover and damages from the dependents.
3.

Plaintiff is motentitiod to the injunction preyed for in its complaint.

Let judemerit be entered accordingly.

$\pi$

