

SAN JUAN WATER DISTRICT

Board of Director's Meeting Minutes

July 8, 2009 – 7:00 p.m.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Bob Walters	President (absent)
Dave Peterson	Vice-President
Ted Costa	Director
Kenneth Miller	Director
Pam Tobin	Director

SAN JUAN WATER DISTRICT MANAGEMENT AND STAFF

Keith Durkin	Assistant General Manager
Mary Morris	Finance and Administrative Services Manager
Judy Gagnier	Customer Service Manager
George Machado	Field Services Manager
Tony Barela	Senior Engineer
Teri Hart	Administrative Assistant/Board Secretary
Paul Bartkiewicz	Counsel

OTHER ATTENDEES

Madelaine Kiliany	Customer
Pat King	Customer
Sandy Harris	Customer
Doug Brown	SYCR Bond Counsel

AGENDA ITEMS

- I. Oath of Office**
- II. Consent Calendar**
- III. Committee Reports**
- IV. Information and Action Items**
- V. Public Forum**
- VI. Upcoming Events**
- VII. Adjourn**

Vice President Peterson called the meeting to order at 7:00 pm

M/S/C to appoint Teri Hart as Administrative Assistant and Board Secretary to the San Juan Water District Board of Directors. 3 Aye votes (Director Tobin absent for the vote).

I. OATH OF OFFICE

Vice President Peterson administered the Oath of Office for Administrative Assistant and Board Secretary to Teri Hart.

II. CONSENT CALENDAR

All items under the consent calendar are considered to be routine and are approved by motion. There will be no separate discussion of these items unless a member of the Board, audience, or staff request a specific item removed after the motion to approve the Consent Calendar.

1. Board of Director's Meeting Minutes

Approval of San Juan Water District's Board of Director's meeting minutes of June 10, 2009.

M/S/C to approve the June 10, 2009 Board of Director's Meeting Minutes. 3 Aye votes, 1 No vote (Costa).

Vice President Peterson acknowledged a request to change the order of the remaining items to move 4.1 Legal Counsel's Report to the beginning of the meeting. (For purposes of these written minutes, it will remain in numerical order.)

III. COMMITTEE REPORTS

1. Finance Committee (07/07/09)

1.1 Review and Pay Bills

Bills and claims in the amount of \$1,780,108.48 were reviewed by the committee and found to be in order. In accordance with recommended practices, the committee specifically reviews any credit card charges and reimbursements for the General Manager, Assistant General Manager and Finance & Administrative Services Manager. Other randomly selected bills were reviewed by the committee. All were found to be in order.

M/S/C to approve Resolution 09-17. 4 Aye votes.

1.2 Retail Emergency CIP Fund

At the June 24, 2009 Board of Directors' Meeting, the Board requested an accounting of the Retail Emergency CIP Fund. Staff prepared an updated schedule (attached) that shows reserve contribution on a calendar year and fiscal year basis, as well as the fund balance. The committee reviewed the schedule. At the end of fiscal year 2009, the fund will have accumulated \$371,701 and by the end of fiscal year 2010 the balance will be approximately \$593,305.

Director Costa requested that staff work towards developing a one page spreadsheet for all reserve funds showing funding coming in, funding going out and balance of accounts for retail and wholesale separately. Staff will prepare this summary and present it to the Finance Committee at the August meeting.

Director Peterson believes that the accounting is incorrect and should be \$293,381 instead of \$214,168 under Fiscal Year Reserve Contribution for 7/1/09-6/30-10. Ms. Morris responded that she believes Director Peterson

is correct and would recommend that the Finance Committee establish a fixed amount rather than a percentage. Director Costa responded that the Finance Committee already has this on the agenda for their next meeting, as Director Miller mentioned this at the last Finance Committee meeting.

1.3 Office Remodel Bids

Keith Durkin informed the committee that the Engineering Committee discussed the office remodel from the perspective of the best approach to configure or expand office space to accommodate staffing changes; construct an office addition or remodel the existing spaces to gain an extra office and work stations. The Engineering Committee agreed that the remodel approach was the most cost effective and appropriate approach at this time. The Finance Committee discussed the office remodel construction bids. Ten bids were received as shown on the attached summary sheet. The lowest bid was received from Treehenge Construction located in Auburn, California for the amount of \$53,000. Mr. Durkin informed the committee that Treehenge's bid, bid bond, qualifications, and other bid forms were reviewed and found to be in order. Staff requests approval to award the bid to Treehenge Construction, the lowest responsive, responsible bidder. With an allowance for contingencies, the total construction budget would be \$58,300.

M/S/C to award the Office Remodel contract to the lowest responsive, responsible bidder, Treehenge Construction for the amount of \$53,000 with an authorized construction budget of \$58,300. 4 Aye votes.

1.4 PERS Assumptions Policy

This item will be held for discussion at the August 2009 committee meeting.

1.5 Pay-As-You-Go Accounting

CIP Schedule – Wholesale & Retail Attached

The committee reviewed the FY 2009-2010 Budget Worksheets for the Wholesale and Retail CIP, copies attached. Mr. Durkin pointed out that the worksheets provide an estimated cost for each capital improvement project and indicate the funding source, COPs, reserve funds, or connection fee funds.

In summary, the worksheets show that the total Wholesale CIP budget is \$18,253,405 of which \$2,188,405 will be paid for with reserve funds, or "pay-as-you-go" funding.

The total Retail CIP budget is \$3,477,647 of which \$2,064,647 will be paid for with reserve funds, or "pay-as-you-go" funding.

1.6 Other Finance Matters

The committee reviewed the following list of agenda items requested by Directors to be discussed at future Finance Committee Meetings and the proposed meeting date for discussion:

Finance Committee Agenda Item	Meeting Date
Pay-as-you-go accounting (overview of projects paid with cash vs. borrowed money)	July 7, 2009
Accounting of Retail Emergency CIP Reserve Fund	July 7, 2009
PERS assumption policy	August 11, 2009
Policy for the use of the emergency reserve fund	August 11, 2009
Accounting of Wholesale and Retail Reserve Funds	August 11, 2009
Percentage vs. Flat dollar amount for emergency reserve	TBD

2. Engineering Committee (06/30/09)

2.1 Solar Power at Baldwin Reservoir

The committee reviewed information provided by Mr. Durkin regarding the use of solar power at Baldwin Reservoir. One avenue of obtaining solar power on the Baldwin property is to enter into an agreement with a developer. The developer constructs and owns the solar power facilities and takes advantage of rebates and tax credits that the District, as a public agency, could not obtain. The District would benefit from a guaranteed rate for power that would save on energy costs over the long term. Initially, the cost may be greater than the savings; however, over the life of the system (approximately 20 years) significant savings could be seen in reduced energy costs. There is a California Solar Initiative rebate application fee of approximately \$20K and an environmental review cost of \$30K. The FY 2009-2010 budget has allowed for this cost.

The committee discussed whether this is the best use for the property. Based on the compatibility of space and environment and neighboring properties, it was recommended by staff that this is the best use of the property. There are very few neighbors who can see the property and the wetlands would remain protected. In reviewing maps of the property, it was noted that the property is in perfect position with the sun's orientation.

The power generated from solar panels would be enough to power the District's facilities on Auburn-Folsom Road, including the water treatment plant. This power would need to be transported across Auburn-Folsom Road and there would be a cost associated with constructing overhead power lines. It is believed that the overall energy cost savings would be approximately \$13,000 to \$14,000 per year over 20-25 years.

The committee agreed that the next step would be issuing a request for proposals to interested developers to help make a more educated decision. In addition, contacting and interviewing other agencies who are utilizing solar power would be advantageous.

The committee discussed the possibility of obtaining grants. Mr. Hydrick will look into this, as he believes that some grants are available via the county.

The committee also discussed looking into future Federal and State legislation that may make it feasible for the District to pursue solar power on its own. The committee will continue with discovery and will report back.

Director Costa suggested that SJWD should notify the neighbors regarding this project even if it is not required.

2.2 Emergency Supply Planning

The committee discussed the Family Agency's ongoing emergency water supply planning. Mr. Durkin reminded the committee that the agencies had been successful developing a dry-year water supply plan utilizing conjunctive use of surface water and ground water. Wholesale water supply agreements were approved that included provisions for benefiting agencies paying the agencies with wells a proportionate share of the cost for constructing, operating, and maintaining the wells for use during dry years.

Family Agency management staff has been working on the emergency water supply component of our water management plan for the better part of a year. The planning was initially based on recommendations in the Wholesale Master Plan Phase 2 Report. To meet the criteria listed in the report, over \$120 million would be required for new wells, storage facilities, and pump stations.

This plan and related costs did not seem feasible. Efforts to change the planning criteria and reduce costs to an acceptable level were not successful. Recently, the Family Agencies have decided to change direction from Emergency Supply Planning to Emergency Response Planning. The goal is to conduct a hazards and vulnerability assessment to identify potential emergency scenarios and develop a response plan to deal with emergencies. Critical components of the wholesale system and

possible failure scenarios will also be reviewed and projects or actions will be identified that can reduce the risk of emergency events.

San Juan Water District will be conducting this effort with in-house engineering and management staff. The work plan and schedule was reviewed with the committee including the vulnerability assessment, modeling, developing a response plan and mitigation measures, cost estimating, and report development. The plan will include training the wholesale agencies in emergency response planning and conducting table top exercises.

2.3 PCWA Inter-Tie

Mr. Durkin informed the committee that District staff met with the Placer County Water Agency on June 22, 2009 to discuss the possibility of improving inter-ties between the two agencies for use during dry-year and emergency conditions. The District specifically has the desire to obtain as much as five to ten MGD to address our retail area needs during these conditions in lieu of relying on Family Agency groundwater wells. During our meeting, PCWA indicated there may be mutual benefits to improving or modifying operation of our systems to meet water supply needs. The committee discussed the existing PCWA inter-tie and ways to improve water supply, especially in case of an emergency.

The District offered to task the lead conducting a feasibility study to evaluate what we can currently get out of both systems and what improvements could be done to develop additional dry-year and emergency water supplies. PCWA agreed to support this study.

2.4 Administration and Shop Building Improvements

Staff reported on the Administration and Shop Building Improvements. Due to staff re-organization, improvements need to be made in order to place employees in appropriate locations and suitable office space. The possibility of construction a building expansion versus remodeling existing space was investigated by staff. After reviewing the architect's estimates, it was decided that shifting and construction new walls internally at an approximate cost of \$60,000 was more feasible than performing an external expansion at a cost of over \$300,000.

Staff will discuss the bids that were received for the improvements at the Finance Committee meeting on July 7th.

2.5 Fluoridation Feasibility and Project Cost Report

Staff reported that the Montgomery-Watson report is complete. A copy of the report Executive Summary was provided to the committee for review, and a copy was included in the board packet for information. There was some discussion regarding providing both pros and cons of fluoridation. The report did not provide an evaluation of the pros and cons. Staff agreed to

provide this additional information in the future if any action on fluoridation is considered.

Mr. Durkin reported that General Manager Shauna Lorange plans to attend a meeting with First Five to discuss the report on July 15, 2009.

Director Costa asked if Mr. Durkin was satisfied of the accuracy of the numbers provided in the report. Mr. Durkin replied that for planning purposes, the numbers are considered accurate but they were prepared on a conceptual level basis.

Director Miller wanted to know if this report satisfies state requirements when working with First 5. Mr. Durkin responded that it is a first step towards meeting that requirement. More information will be available after July 15th regarding the next steps and will be brought back to the board at the August meeting.

M/S/C to accept the Fluoridation Feasibility and Project Cost Report as complete without action on findings or recommendations. 4 Aye votes.

2.6 Bypass Pipeline Project Cost Share Agreement with Roseville

Mr. Durkin reported that the Bypass Pipeline Project will be a cost share with the City of Roseville. The District approved the entire project, subject to award of the construction contract coming back to the Board for consideration and approval, whereas, the City of Roseville only approved design of the project. A Memorandum of Agreement between the District and City for cost sharing on the construction of the pipeline was prepared based on our prior agreement and Shauna has signed the agreement. The approximate cost share for construction is 45-percent for Roseville and 55-percent for the District. The total cost of the 72-inch bypass pipeline is estimated at approximately \$6.3 million. Roseville will construct a 60-inch connecting pipeline and will cover the \$1.3 million cost, while the District will construct connecting 72-inch and 42-inch pipelines at a cost of approximately \$1 million.

Mr. Durkin reported that based on current work load projections for the ongoing CIP, consultants will be utilized for the construction management.

The pre-bid meeting was held July 8th with approximately 20 General Contractors and a few subcontractors present. The bids are due by July 23, 2009 and will be reported back to the board in August.

Vice President Peterson thanked the staff for the engineering work performed on this project and for all of staff's work moving projects forward.

2.7 Other Engineering Matters

There were no other engineering matters discussed.

III. INFORMATION AND ACTION ITEMS

1. Assistant General Manager's Report

1.1 Legislative Update

Mr. Durkin gave an update on the water conservation bills AB 49 and SB 261. AB 49 passed the Senate Water Committee, while SB 261 passed the Assembly Water Committee. Both will now be heard in the Senate and Assembly Appropriation Committees.

On July 1, 2009, there was a regional coordination meeting at Sacramento Suburban Water District, with John Woodling of RWA, facilitating the meeting. Attendees were City of Sacramento, PCWA, SSWD, SJWD, City of Folsom, Ryan Bezarra of Bartkiewicz, Kronick & Shanahan, Bob Reeb, Lobbyist, and several other water agencies.

The intent of the meeting was to get a sense of where different agencies stood on the bills and see if there should be a coordinated effort to put forward. The consensus at the meeting was similar to the SJWD opinions expressed at the last board meeting, showing opposition to AB 49 and support of SB 261 with additional amendments.

The outcome of the meeting was that there were common concerns and Mr. Reeves suggested moving forward with correspondence to the different legislative committees (voicing concern and recommending amendments) and it was agreed to work with Mr. Reeves' clients to make sure the group's concerns are added into the correspondence.

Another meeting is set for July 10th at Sacramento Suburban Water District to discuss strategy. Mr. Bezzara prepared a summary of the bills and the handout was passed out at the board meeting.

1.2 CSDA Region 2 Call for Nominations

Director Tobin is interested in filing as a candidate for the Region 2, Seat A of the CSDA Board of Directors.

M/S/C to Approve Resolution No. 09-18 to support Director Tobin as candidate for CSDA, Region 2, Seat A. 4 Aye votes.

1.3 Report Back Items

There are no report back items.

1.4 Miscellaneous District Issues and Correspondence

Mr. Durkin directed the board to the correspondence from Central Valley Project Water Association.

Director Miller asked if the construction being worked on at the SJWD entrance was on our property or someone else's. Mr. Durkin responded that he believed it was on our property and Ms. Morris will check and see if it is under a lease contract.

2. Finance and Administrative Services Manager's Report

2.1 Report Back Items

There were no report back items.

2.2 Miscellaneous District Issues and Correspondence

Ms. Morris informed the board that along with Microsoft Office 2007 training earlier today, staff celebrated and were recognized for reaching 1,000 days with no lost time work accidents.

3. Directors' Reports

3.1 SGA

Director Tobin reported that SGA met last month and she has nothing to report.

3.2 RWA

Director Tobin reported that the RWA will meet on July 9, 2009.

3.3 Executive Committee

Mr. Durkin reported that the Executive Committee met on June 23, 2009. A verbal report was presented at the June 24th board meeting since the minutes were not completed in time for the meeting.

Update on Metro Chamber State Leg Day

Bob Churchill reported that there were several water agencies present at the Capitol. Shauna Lorange, Keith Durkin, and Rob Roscoe were among those who attended. Ms. Lorange stated she was able to speak to a few legislators and the key is to follow-up with emails. It was noted that it is very important to keep contact with the legislators or their staff so that they are aware of Northern California water issues.

The committee discussed some of the issues and position papers that were presented to the legislators.

Feedback on Supervisor MacGlashan's Community Meeting Discussions

Shauna Lorange reported that the meetings went well and she has attended the Orangevale, Fair Oaks and City of Folsom meetings and will strive to attend more meetings.

Wholesale Budget Update

Ms. Lorange reported that the San Juan Water District Wholesale Budget is not finalized and will be open for discussion and reviewed at the June 24th board meeting.

Status of Conservation Legislation

Ms. Lorance presented a summary of the conservation legislation currently pending. AB 49 (Fuer) was introduced this year, requiring the GPCPD requirement similar to 2170. AB 49 was later modified to require the GPCPD in the DWR implementation report, which had a target of 179 for this region. This would have required a 40-60% reduction in water use for this region. After much work, it was modified to provide three options, with a 20% average reduction requirement for all agencies statewide, unless they already met the target. AB 49 is a top down, regulatory based conservation requirement. To counter this bill, ACWA is working with SAWPA to introduce SB 261. This bill has to have enough substance to compete with AB 49, but is focused on a ground up conservation requirement.

Ms. Lorance provided the current status of both AB 49 and SB261. See Attachment A for summary of the legislative bills. Both bills have cleared their original houses, and are in the second house. Both bills call for conservation of 20% by 2020; however, Ms. Lorance suggested that, with some revisions, SB 261 would be preferred to SB 49. Some of the suggested revisions include:

- Defining *Conserve Water*
- Defining *Net High Efficiency*
- Retaining Watershed Credit (the June 19 language needs some work because it is not clear what sort of credit an agency would get for accelerating its metering program ahead of state law)

It was also suggested to support SB 261 if modifications are made, and to oppose AB 49 but still modify it - create bulleted list with modifications suggested (white paper). AB 49 does not cover water rights (right of ownership for water that is conserved) and exemption for court legislation.

NMFS OCAP Biological Opinion

Ms. Lorance reported on the National Marine Fisheries Service Operating Criteria and Plan Biological Opinion.

Ms. Lorance informed the committee that there are many elements of concern, such as a target instead of a goal for the temperature at Watt on the American River for Spring-run Steelhead. Fall-run Chinook was not evaluated and the concern is that there will be problems with water supply at Folsom Lake due to keeping the temperature lower during the Spring-run. The flows are being analyzed to see how they compare to the Water Forum Lower American River flow standard.

On the American River, the study recommended a flow management standard, a temperature management plan, additional technological fixes to

temperature control structures, and, in the long term, a passage at Nimbus and Folsom Dams to restore steelhead to native habitat. The passage may be in the form of a fish ladder or permanent trap and haul program.

There is a delta-area group that may be putting a lawsuit together and SJWD is meeting with other agencies to see if concerns and impacts would match those that are in the lawsuit. Once reviewed, a recommendation would go to the board of directors for their decision. Impacts of the BO could be significant.

Goal Status Report

The 2009 Executive Committee final goals were provided to the committee. Keith Durkin reviewed the goals.

Fair Oaks 40

Mr. Durkin reported that they are working on a Request for Proposals. The draft RFP will be provided to Fair Oaks Water District and Orange Vale Water Company for review and comment prior to being issued.

Water Forum Conservation Renegotiation Process

Dave Kane reported that minor language changes are needed to complete.

Wholesale Meter Replacement Project

Keith Durkin reported that all meters are installed and working. The contractor is currently working on punch list activities with some electrical and instrumentation work remaining to be completed. This project is anticipated to be brought to the July 8th San Juan Water District board meeting for approval of completion.

Vice President Peterson requested the status of the project. Mr. Durkin responded that the project is 99% complete with just a few punch list items. Mr. Durkin introduced Tony Barela, Senior Engineer, to the board.

Bypass Pipeline Update

Keith Durkin reported that the project is out for bids, with a pre-bid meeting occurring on July 8th. The bid date is July 23rd. The contract should be awarded in August with an October construction start date.

Bypass Intake Project Update

No report.

Water Accounting Framework

No report. It is requested that an update be provided at the next meeting.

Chlorine System Improvements

Construction on the project is approximately 85-90 percent complete. The contractor anticipates completion by end of June; however, with start-up,

training, and punch list completion, staff believes this project won't be complete until mid-July.

Agency Updates

Each agency provided a brief update on operations and the status of ongoing capital improvements projects.

Fair Oaks Water District:

- The new office and community center building permit was received, and construction has begun on the project.
- 1st water waste fine (\$100) was sent to a residential customer.
- Suggests that board members from SJWD and FOWD meet with each other on a 2x2 scenario.

Orange Vale Water Company:

- No report

Citrus Heights Water District:

- The new well state permit is still pending
- Working on budget rates for 2010
- Conservation partnering with Orchard Supply Hardware to provide OSH coupon (water conserving devices) to CHWD customers as an insert in the bills and OSH will cover additional costs.

Sacramento Suburban Water District:

- Construction project on Watt & Marconi on hold pending investigation of fatal accident.
- Still working on drought water bank water transfer

San Juan Water District:

- Water Analyst (Vicki) scheduled to start on Monday. Teri Hart was introduced as Administrative Assistant/Board Secretary.
- Placer County still plans on putting the middle phase of the Auburn-Folsom Road Widening out to bid in June or July. The corresponding phase of the District's 24-inch water transmission main to serve Granite Bay is included in this project.
- SJWD has three in-house steel water main replacement projects for the retail system that will be placed out for bids this summer.
- A Notice to Proceed was issued to move forward with the replacement WTP emergency generator project.
- Working internally to develop Emergency Water Supply Planning.
- Working on improvements with PCWA inter-ties.

Folsom:

- No report

3.4 ACWA/JPIA

No report.

3.5 ACWA Region IV

President Peterson reported that there will be a meeting on July 31, 2009; however, he will be unable to attend and anticipates that Ms. Lorance or Mr. Durkin will attend.

3.6 CVP Water Users Association

Director Costa reported that there will be a meeting on July 14, 2009. There are concerns that there are over 500,000 acres of land not being watered south of Stockton which is creating increased unemployment in the area.

3.7 LAFCo

Director Costa reported that LAFCo met June 30, 2009, and he has nothing to report.

3.8 Other Reports

No Report.

4. Legal Counsel's Report

4.1 Report on relationship between SJWD and the SJ financing corporation

Mr. Bartkiewicz discussed the relationship between SJWD and the SJ Financing Corporation and provided a brief overview of the two organizations. Mr. Doug Brown, SJWD's bond counsel, was available to answer questions.

Mr. Bartkiewicz addressed three specific issues: legality of COPs, legally separate entities and director resignation from Financing Corporation.

Under established legal authority, the COPs issued by the trustee on behalf of the District and the Corporation are legally valid and binding obligations.

The Corporation is a separate legal entity from the District. The District may manage the day-to-day affairs of the Corporation and such activities will not impact the Corporation's separate legal existence.

Under the current Bylaws, a Director may not resign from the Corporation Board unless he or she also is leaving office as a District Director. However, the Corporation Board has the power to amend the bylaws to change this rule.

Director Costa mentioned that he feels the non-profit is not being run properly. He believes that Sue Makimoto is still the Secretary of the corporation. He would like to resign from the Financing Corporation and would like to see a Bylaws change so that this can occur.

Director Miller requested clarification from Mr. Bartkiewicz regarding initiating a Bylaws change. Mr. Bartkiewicz stated that it is not a legal

requirement to have the directors of SJWD be the directors of the Financing Corporation; however, the Financing Corporation Bylaws require the SJWD Directors to be on the board of the Financing Corporation. He informed the board that the Financing Corporation could be made up of different combinations of SJWD Directors and/or the public; however, this would require a Bylaws change via a Financing Corporation meeting. In addition as the memorandum states, utilizing a financing corporation as a vehicle for issuing this kind of debt has been recognized and approved by the Supreme Court, IRS and FTB.

Director Costa discussed his concern that the Financing Corporation and SJWD are one and the same, and there is not enough separation. He is also concerned that the meeting minutes are not kept up to date.

Director Miller stated that the board only meets once per year for the required annual meeting and for the most part, the meeting only lasts ten minutes; therefore, the minutes will be very brief and are not approved until the next meeting, a year later. To clarify the issue at hand, Director Miller asked Director Costa for his specific concern(s). There was discussion and Director Miller summarized by reiterating that if the minutes were kept up-to-date Director Costa would be satisfied. Director Costa responded "yes", that would resolve his concern.

Discussion pursued regarding the Financing Corporation and the issues of concern. Mr. Bartkiewicz suggested that after a debt is issued a meeting be scheduled in order to approve the meeting minutes so that the minutes are approved prior to the next year. Vice President Peterson recommends a special meeting of the Financing Corporation be scheduled prior to the August 12, 2009 SJWD board meeting to appoint the Secretary, identify corporate counsel, approve meeting minutes, and review Minutes and Bylaws books.

Note: Due to scheduling, this meeting will be held September 9, 2009.

4.2 Other legal matters

No other matters to report.

V. PUBLIC FORUM

No comment.

VIII. UPCOMING EVENTS

Urban Water Institute Annual Conference
August 20-21, 2009
San Diego, CA

ACWA Continuing Legal Education Workshop
September 17-18, 2009
Costa Mesa, CA

AWWA California Nevada Fall Conference
October 5-8, 2009
Las Vegas, Nevada

Water Education Foundation Northern California Water Tour
October 7-9, 2009

IX. ADJOURN

The meeting was adjourned at 8:25 p.m.

BOB WALTERS, President
Board of Directors
San Juan Water District

ATTEST:

TERI HART, Board Secretary