

**SAN JUAN WATER DISTRICT  
BOARD MEETING AGENDA  
July 10, 2013  
7:00 p.m.  
9935 Auburn Folsom Road  
Granite Bay, CA 95746**

The Board may take action on any item on the agenda, including items listed on the agenda as information items. The Board may add an item to the agenda (1) upon a determination by at least three Board members that an emergency situation exists, or (2) upon a determination by at least four Board members (or by three Board members if there are only three Board members present) that the need to take action became apparent after the agenda was posted.

The public may address the Board concerning an agenda item either before or during the Board's consideration of that agenda item. Public comment on items within the jurisdiction of the Board is welcome, subject to reasonable time limitations for each speaker. Upon request, agenda items may be moved up to accommodate those in attendance wishing to address that item. Please inform the General Manager. Times on the agenda are estimates, and items may be discussed at a different time than listed.

Documents and materials that are related to an open session agenda item that are provided to the District Board less than 72 hours prior to a regular meeting will be made available for public inspection and copying at the District office during normal District business hours.

In compliance with the American's with Disabilities Act, if you have a disability and need a disability-related modification or accommodation to participate in this meeting, please contact the Board Secretary at 916-791-0115. Requests must be made as early as possible, and at least one full business day before the start of the meeting.

**ESTIMATED TIMES**

**7:00 p.m.**

**I. PUBLIC FORUM**

During the Public Forum, the Board may briefly respond to statements made or questions posed by the public, or ask District staff for clarification, refer the matter to District staff or ask District staff to report back at a future meeting. The Board will not take action on any matter raised during the Public Forum, unless the Board first makes the determinations to add the matter to the agenda.

**7:05 p.m.**

**II. CONSENT CALENDAR**

All items under the Consent Calendar are considered to be routine and will be approved by one motion. There will be no separate discussion of these items unless a member of the Board, Audience, or Staff request a specific item removed after the motion to approve the Consent Calendar.

**1. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting June 26, 2013**

**7:10 p.m.**

**III. PRESENTATIONS**

**1. CalPERS Actuary – Kelly Sturm**

## ACTION AND INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

8:00 p.m.

### IV. COMMITTEE REPORTS

#### 1. Personnel Committee (6/25/13)

- 1.1 Compensation Study (W/R)
- 1.2 Other Personnel Matters (W/R)
- 1.3 Public Comment (W/R)

#### 2. Public Information Committee (6/27/13)

- 2.1 Discuss Critical Issues Impacting District and Focus Areas (W/R)  
**Action: Review and Adopt the Policy Statements**
- 2.2 Discuss Executive Committee (W/R)
- 2.3 Other Public Information Matters (W/R)
- 2.4 Public Comment (W/R)

#### 3. Engineering Committee (7/9/13)

- 3.1 Granite Bay Booster Pump Station Improvements Project (R)  
**Action: Consider a Motion to Award Agreement for Professional Engineering Services**
- 3.2 FO-40 Rehabilitation Project Close-Out Report (W/R)
- 3.3 Other Engineering Matters (W/R)
- 3.4 Public Comment (W/R)

#### 4. Finance Committee (7/9/13)

- 4.1 Review and Pay Bills (W/R)  
**Action: Consider a Motion to Adopt Resolution No. 13-12**
- 4.2 Other Finance Matters (W/R)
- 4.3 Public Comment (W/R)

8:15 p.m.

### V. INFORMATION AND ACTION ITEMS

#### 1. General Manager's Report

- 1.1 July and August Board Meetings  
*The second meeting in July and August are typically canceled*  
**Action: Consider a Motion to Cancel Second Board Meeting in July and August**
- 1.2 ACWA President  
*John Coleman has requested support for president*  
**Action: Consider Adoption of Resolution 13-13 Supporting John Coleman for President of ACWA**

- 1.3 Legislative Outreach Plan  
*Board discussion on legislative lobbying*
- 1.4 Report Back Items
  - 1.4.1 Wholesale Joint Board Briefing
- 1.5 Miscellaneous District Issues and Correspondence

**2. Assistant General Manager's Report**

- 2.1 Report Back Items
- 2.2 Miscellaneous District Issues and Correspondence

**3. Finance and Administrative Services Manager's Report**

- 3.1 Report Back Items
- 3.2 Miscellaneous District Issues and Correspondence

**4. Legal Counsel's Report**

- 4.1 Legal Matters

**5. Directors' Reports**

- 5.1 SGA
- 5.2 RWA
- 5.3 Executive Committee
- 5.4 ACWA/JPIA
- 5.5 CVP Water Users Association
- 5.6 Other Reports and Comments

**8:25 p.m.**

**VI. UPCOMING EVENTS**

- 1. CSDA 2013 Annual Conference & Exhibitor Showcase  
September 16-19, 2013  
Monterey, CA
- 2. ACWA Continuing Legal Education for Water Professionals  
October 3-4, 2013  
Newport Beach, CA

**President Miller to call for Closed Session**

**VII. CLOSED SESSION**

- 1. Conference with legal counsel--anticipated litigation; Government Code sections 54954.5(c) and 54956.9(b); significant exposure to litigation involving state and federal administrative proceedings and programs affecting District water rights

**VIII. OPEN SESSION**  
**1. Report from Closed Session**

**IX. ADJOURN**

**UPCOMING MEETING DATES**

August 14, 2013  
September 11, 2013

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing agenda for the July 10, 2013 regular meeting of the Board of Directors of San Juan Water District was posted July 3, 2013, on the outdoor bulletin boards at the District Office Building, 9935 Auburn Folsom Road, Granite Bay, California, and was freely accessible to the public.

---

Teri Hart  
Board Secretary

## **SAN JUAN WATER DISTRICT**

Board of Director's Meeting Minutes

June 26, 2013 – 7:00 p.m.

### **BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

|               |                |
|---------------|----------------|
| Ken Miller    | President      |
| Bob Walters   | Vice President |
| Ted Costa     | Director       |
| Dave Peterson | Director       |
| Pam Tobin     | Director       |

### **SAN JUAN WATER DISTRICT MANAGEMENT AND STAFF**

|                 |   |
|-----------------|---|
| Shauna Lorance  | General Manager                             |
| Keith Durkin    | Assistant General Manager                   |
| Mary Morris     | Finance and Administrative Services Manager |
| Judy Johnson    | Customer Service Manager                    |
| Jason Mayorga   | Distribution Operator IV                    |
| Teri Hart       | Board Secretary/Administrative Assistant    |
| Joshua Horowitz | Counsel                                     |

### **OTHER ATTENDEES**

|              |          |
|--------------|----------|
| Sandy Harris | Customer |
|--------------|----------|

### **AGENDA ITEMS**

- I. Public Forum**
- II. Consent Calendar**
- III. Old Business**
- IV. Information and Action Items**
- V. Upcoming Events**
- VI. Adjourn**

President Miller called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

#### **I. PUBLIC FORUM**

There were no public comments.

#### **II. CONSENT CALENDAR**

All items under the consent calendar are considered to be routine and are approved by motion. There will be no separate discussion of these items unless a member of the Board, audience, or staff request a specific item removed after the motion to approve the Consent Calendar.

##### **1. Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting June 12, 2013**

Approval of San Juan Water District's Board of Director's meeting minutes from June 12, 2013.

***Director Walters moved to approve the Consent Calendar. Director Peterson seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.***

## **ACTION AND INFORMATIONAL ITEMS**

### **III. OLD BUSINESS**

#### **1. Fiscal Year 2013-2014 Retail Budget**

President Miller informed the Board to consider adoption of Fiscal Year 2013-2014 Retail Budgets, Rates and Fees.

Director Miller requested clarification on the COLA. Ms. Morris explained that the original assumption is included as it was presented in February showing a 3.1% COLA. However, it is noted immediately following that statement for both Wholesale and Retail that the Board approved a 2% COLA effective July 1, 2013 then after the February 2014 CPI is available, if the change remains at 3.1% employees will receive a 1% COLA one-time payment that would not increase the base salary, nor the salary range, in future years.

Director Peterson commended staff for developing a good tight budget.

***Director Walters moved to adopt Resolution No. 13-11 approving FY 2013-2014 Retail Budgets, Rates and Fees. Director Peterson seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.***

### **IV. INFORMATION AND ACTION ITEMS**

#### **1. GENERAL MANAGER'S REPORT**

##### **1.1 CSDA Region 2 Board of Directors Election**

Ms. Lorance reported that CSDA Region 2 Board of Directors elections for Seat B are due. The Board discussed the candidates.

***Director Costa moved to vote for Ginger Root for Seat B on the CSDA Region 2 Board of Directors elections. Director Peterson seconded the motion and it carried with 4 Aye votes and 1 Abstain vote (Walters).***

##### **1.2 Report Back Items**

###### **1.2.1 Statewide Framework Update**

Ms. Lorance informed the Board that she emailed the document containing the 11 items that the Statewide Framework workgroup developed. The workgroup met June 21<sup>st</sup> to further develop and expand on the list. They will meet again the week of July 8<sup>th</sup>. Ms. Lorance reported that her trip down California last week to meet with various water agencies, prior to Friday's meeting, proved very successful. She was able to discuss the BDCP modeling which was unanimously disliked amongst the agencies. In addition, at the

meeting many of the agencies reiterated the key issues that Ms. Lorance discussed with them.

Ms. Lorance informed the Board that the speakers at the meeting agreed that the regulatory issues are the biggest problem to solving the state's water issue.

Ms. Lorance referenced a document that Einar Maisch (PCWA) produced regarding additional thoughts on the BDCP. Mr. Maisch's view is that the agencies need to keep focused on the regulatory issue and look at climate change – what we need to do, what needs to change, where are we now, and how do we provide a path to that.

In addition, Mr. Maisch requested a proposal from Crocker & Crocker to pull together all the SJWD issues papers that are being developed and arrange a session with Sacramento Metro Chamber of Commerce, SACOG and Valley Vision to present the issues and get the message out to the public.

Director Costa directed a question to Legal Counsel for response at the next Legal Affairs Committee meeting. He questioned if a claim was submitted regarding lowering the lake level to the point that the District can't take water, and if they informed us that they are doing this for purposes of protecting endangered species, then would we no longer have a cause of action.

Director Walters mentioned that at the Mountain Counties Water Resources Association meeting that he and Director Tobin attended, Director Tobin posed a question to the Executive Director of the Delta Stewardship Council (Chris Knopp) which asked what they are rehabbing the Delta to – what time period, etc. No answer was provided. In addition, Paul Helliker (DWR Deputy Director, Delta and Statewide Water Management), presented charts which showed Folsom and Shasta dropping to dead pool and, when questioned about what they will do when this happens, he stated that the charts "probably" show this and that they will "probably" be able to change that.

Ms. Lorance stated that ACWA is in favor of building the tunnels which would help reliability south of the Delta but not in the proposed operation of the tunnels. Director Peterson suggested that exporters be divided to reflect Southern California Urban and Valley Agri-Business. Ms. Lorance explained that Mr. Maisch prefers to keep them as a whole since the focus is not on how the water is used after it leaves the Delta, but how it is operated to begin with.

Director Miller's opinion is that the District can't do anything to stop the tunnels, stop water deliveries south, or change the Delta, since

the power base is in Southern California. He suggested that instead the District focus on water supply to customers and protecting deliverability and amounts controlled. Ms. Lorance commented that operations and the effect on Folsom is the primary issue. She explained that a broad array of state agencies dictate various requirements that are placed on the operations of Folsom. The Board discussed the operation issues and decision making process for changes at Folsom.

*For information, no action requested*

### **1.3 Miscellaneous District Issues and Correspondence**

Ms. Lorance informed the Board that ACWA is calling for nominations for President and John Coleman, current Vice President, has requested SJWD Board support for President.

Ms. Lorance informed the Board that the July 10<sup>th</sup> meeting agenda will also include the formal notification of cancellation of the 2<sup>nd</sup> meetings in July and August.

*No action requested, for information only*

## **2. ASSISTANT GENERAL MANAGER'S REPORT**

### **2.1 Fair Oaks 40" Pipeline Rehabilitation Project**

Mr. Durkin reported that Trinet Construction, Inc. completed all work on the Fair Oaks 40" Pipeline Rehabilitation Project to the satisfaction of the District. All conditions of the contract have been met and staff recommends consideration of a motion to accept the project as complete. A copy of the staff report will be attached to the meeting minutes. Director Peterson suggested sending Jeff Inferrera with the ICM Group a letter commending his work on the project.

***Director Peterson moved to accept the project as complete and authorize staff to file the Notice of Completion with Sacramento County. Director Walters seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.***

### **2.2 Report Back Items**

#### **2.2.1 California Extreme Precipitation Symposium**

Mr. Durkin reported that he attended the California Extreme Precipitation Symposium on June 25<sup>th</sup> at UC Davis. The theme of the symposium was "Improving Precipitation and Runoff Forecasts and Implications for Reservoir Operations." There were several presentations with direct applicability to our advocacy for using real-time data and updated modeling tools to manage Folsom Reservoir. For instance, Katherine Maher presented on *Using Real-Time*



*Watershed Information in Reservoir Operations – A Case Study of Folsom Reservoir.* The presentation covered some results of her study and modeling including looking at basin wetness. By using this parameter in modeling, a better picture of actual watershed runoff can be achieved. Mr. Durkin commented that new technology is available or under development for better management of reservoirs.

#### 2.2.2 Folsom Flood Management Operations Study Technical Workgroup

Mr. Durkin reported that he attended the Folsom Flood Management Operations Study Technical Workshop at the Corps of Engineers this morning. This workgroup is looking at modeling in preparation of the Folsom Dam water control manual. Being that this was the first meeting, the workgroup purpose was defined under a long mission statement provided by the Corps. It was made clear that the purpose of the workgroup is not to engage in policy level discussions or to make decisions on how the project operates, but to provide an understanding of the models and information that can be taken back to stakeholder organizations.

Mr. Durkin commented that current federal law requires between 400,000 AF and 600,000 AF of storage space available for flood control in Folsom Reservoir on December 1<sup>st</sup> of each year. Mr. Durkin pointed out that in recent years the Bureau has drained the reservoir below the parameters set by the Corps of Engineers for flood control purposes going into the winter months. Mr. Durkin commented that it's important that the water control manual is written in a way that does not further hinder reservoir operations.

#### 2.3 **Miscellaneous District Issues and Correspondence**

There were no further items discussed.

### 3. **FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES MANAGER'S REPORT**

#### 3.1. **Report Back Items**

There were items discussed.

#### 3.2. **Miscellaneous District Issues and Correspondence**

There were items discussed.

### 4. **LEGAL COUNSEL'S REPORT**

#### 4.1 **Legal Matters**

Mr. Horowitz reported the AB 145 which would move the drinking water program from DPH to the State Water Board is still going through the legislature, in spite of opposition. He commented that lobbying the Governor's office for a veto might be the best way at this time to stop the move.

Mr. Horowitz reported that AB 1090 is a bill that is sponsored by the FPPC with an original proposal to increase authority over conflict of interest issues and have concurrent jurisdiction over conflict issues. ACWA is working with FPPC's general counsel and revisions are being made. One revision pertains to removing the conflict of interest as it pertains to agencies contracting with companies which Directors have interests in and to allow the Director to recuse him/herself from discussions.

Mr. Horowitz reported that SB 731 regarding CEQA reform will not be a benefit to the District. There might be a requirement to give 15 day notices and circulate proposed findings and negative declarations which will add a burden to the District. In addition, the bill will also require the administrative record to be prepared at the time of the CEQA review process, even if the CEQA document is unchallenged.

Mr. Horowitz reported that several lawsuits have been filed by various groups against the Delta Plan. He mentioned that both exporters and environmentalist have filed the lawsuits.

## **5. DIRECTORS' REPORTS**

### **5.1 SGA**

Director Tobin reported that SGA meets on July 11, 2013.

### **5.2 RWA**

Director Tobin reported that the RWA Executive Committee met today and proposed a resolution honoring Alex Ferreira from PCWA for years of service, and discussed the Integrated Regional Water Management Plan, the Strategic Plan for 2018, paying off the CalPERS side fund, continuing the Blue Thumb campaign through the summer, the RWA Outreach Program and beginning the process for the Executive Director's annual evaluation and compensation review.

Director Tobin reported that she sent out a survey to the Board members for their input regarding the RWA Strategic Plan for 2018 and requested that all Board members respond to the survey by June 28<sup>th</sup>.

### **5.3 Executive Committee**

Ms. Lorance reported that the Executive Committee met June 25, 2013. The meeting minutes will be provided at the next Board meeting. She informed the Board that she gave a status on this year's water supply forecast including lake levels and dry year concerns. In addition, she requested the current groundwater pumping capacities from CHWD and FOWD in order to gather the data and prepare a report on total water supply availability. She will report back with the information once the report is complete.

Director Costa mentioned that the 2x2 Water Management Ad Hoc Committee was not on this agenda and that the proposed options from

Director Miller and Mr. Churchill should be on the next 2x2 Water Management Ad Hoc Committee agenda. Ms. Lorange will make sure that Discussion of Alternatives be included on the agenda. President Miller commented that Legal Counsel has been requested to be at the next committee meeting in order to clarify the answers to the questions which were asked as a result of the Joint Board meeting. The next 2x2 Water Management Ad Hoc Committee meeting is July 12, 2013.

**5.4 ACWA/JPIA**

No report.

**5.5 CVP Water Users Association**

No report.

**5.6 Other Reports and Comments**

Director Peterson inquired if there is any type of outreach when there is a storm coming in order to remind customers to turn off irrigation devices. Ms. Lorange responded that reminders are provided as winter approaches; however, for the current rains which occurred, no reminder was sent.

Ms. Harris inquired what X2 means. Ms. Lorange responded that it refers to the point at which salt water meets fresh water in the Delta.

**V. UPCOMING EVENTS**

1. CSDA 2013 Annual Conference & Exhibitor Showcase  
September 16-19, 2013  
Monterey, CA
2. ACWA Continuing Legal Education for Water Professionals  
October 3-4, 2013  
Newport Beach, CA

**VI. ADJOURN**

**The meeting was adjourned at 8:20 p.m.**

---

KENNETH MILLER, President  
Board of Directors  
San Juan Water District

ATTEST:

---

TERI HART, Board Secretary

The meeting minute attachments are located under Meeting Minutes – *Draft* on the webpage.

# AGENDA ITEM IV-1 DRAFT

**Personnel Committee Meeting  
San Juan Water District  
June 27, 2013  
9:00 a.m.**

**Committee Members:** Bob Walters, Chair  
Dave Peterson

**District Staff:** Shauna Lorance, General Manager  
Mary Morris, Finance & Administrative Services Manager  
Teri Hart, Board Secretary/Administrative Assistant

**Topics:** Compensation Study (W/R)  
Other Personnel Matters (W/R)  
Public Comment

## **1. Compensation Study (W/R)**

Ms. Lorance provided the committee with a copy of the Sacramento Suburban Water District (SSWD) Employee Compensation Policy and a proposed draft Compensation Studies policy for the SJWD Employee Manual. Ms. Morris explained that she used the SSWD policy as a starting point and revised it to fit Board discussions regarding compensation studies. It was also pointed out that by establishing a Compensation Study policy, the RFP for the next Compensation Study can be developed based on this policy.

Ms. Morris informed the committee that she and Ms. Jenny Max attended a compensation course which covered current practices related to compensation studies and why it is important to establish a policy prior to a study. By setting a policy, information on what to expect from a compensation study is provided to employees and the Board's intention is delivered to them.

The committee reviewed the draft Compensation Study policy and made the following suggestions:

- Change 3110.01(c) to include 10% above the average (mean) of the top of range while maintaining compensation level and adjusting accordingly
- Collect General Manager data and evaluating separately
- Have Legal Counsel review the policy then Legal Committee
- Include that the Personnel Committee oversees the compensation study
- Include intent to obtain data on private companies when available, such as during events such as a privatization bid by a public agency.
- Use Compensation Study instead of Survey
- Add information on what to do with the results of the Compensation Study

Ms. Morris will make the suggested changes and email the draft policy to the committee members, and then the committee members will return any revisions to her prior to the next committee meeting.

**2. Other Personnel Matters**

There were no other items discussed.

**2.1 Next Meeting Date**

The next committee meeting was scheduled for July 11, 2013 at 4:30 pm.

**3. Public Comment (W/R)**

There was no public comment.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:50 a.m.

**Public Information Committee Meeting Minutes  
San Juan Water District  
June 25, 2013  
10:00 a.m.**

Attendees: Ken Miller, Chair  
Dave Peterson, Member  
Shauna Lorance, General Manager  
Judy Johnson, Customer Service Manager  
Rick Hydrick, Operations Manager  
Lucy Eidam Crocker, Crocker and Crocker  
Christine Braziel, Crocker and Crocker  
Teri Hart, Board Secretary/Administrative Assistant

Topics: Discuss Critical Issues Impacting District and Focus Areas (W/R)  
Discuss Executive Committee (W/R)  
Other Public Information Matters (W/R)

- Next Meeting Date

Public Comment (W/R)

**1. Discuss Critical Issues Impacting District and Focus Areas (W/R)**

Ms. Eidam Crocker informed the committee that she completed the Board member interviews regarding the direction of the public information program. She reviewed the Board Interview Findings Report with the committee. A copy of the report will be attached to the meeting minutes. Some common interests are delivering bolder/stronger messages; informing customers what the District is doing regarding water rights and supply; producing more advocacy based messaging; reaching out to legislators; and getting customers involved when needed.

Ms. Eidam Crocker also spoke with the General Managers of the wholesale customer agencies. These discussions revealed that the wholesale customer agencies would like the District to take a formal position on issues or principles and adopt primary policy statements as a Board. The committee discussed educating the public up front so that they are ready to respond when action is requested of them.

In addition, the committee discussed working on a Legislative Outreach Plan, with Crocker and Crocker providing messaging based on Board direction. Director Peterson pointed out that other organizations, such as RWA and ACWA, cover a lot of the District issues. Director Miller commented that the District should have a few key issues to focus on then cross-reference with the other organizations to ensure that the issue is covered for the District's concerns. If the District's concerns are not covered, then the District should work on those issues. Director Peterson suggested mapping threats to water rights.

Ms. Eidam Crocker informed the committee that three key issues have been defined as:

1. Impact of BDCP on San Juan
2. Folsom Lake Levels
3. Water Rights

The committee discussed the proposed key issues and associated Policy Statements and suggested some changes. Some items discussed included adding in more water issues

such as quality of water when lake level is too low, effect on fisheries, environmental concerns, benefiting one region to the detriment of another region, cost impact to customers due to pumping, and the co-equal goal of reliable water supply and ecosystem restoration. The revised Policy Statements will be emailed to the committee for further review and any additional edits will be made before attaching to the meeting minutes. A copy of the revised draft Policy Statements will be attached to the meeting minutes.

Ms. Lorance commented that the revised Policy Statements will be included in the board packet for the July 10<sup>th</sup> Board meeting.

*The Public Information Committee recommends review and adoption of the Policy Statements.*

**2. Discuss Executive Committee (W/R)**

Ms. Eidam Crocker mentioned that, as part of the Board member interviews and discussions with the wholesale customer agencies, the Executive Committee was discussed. The original intention of the committee was to foster communication between the agencies. The general managers of the wholesale customer agencies desire to keep the committee intact. Some ideas for changes are to change the name and/or redefine the purpose.

*For information, no action requested.*

**3. Other Public Information Matters**

There were no other items discussed.

**3.1 Next Meeting Date**

The next committee meeting date was not set.

**4. Public Comment**

There was no public comment.

The meeting adjourned at 11:25 am.



7/2/2013

**DRAFT - San Juan Water District – Policy Statements**



## Impact of BDCP on San Juan

***San Juan Water District does not dispute the need for a reliable water supply for Southern California and valley agriculture users or even that a new way to deliver the water is needed. We are opposed to the operational plan showing Folsom Lake at dead pool and the failure of the BDCP to meet co-equal goals for the entire state: reliable water supply and ecosystem restoration.***

The problem with the BDCP is not the planned tunnels or infrastructure. The problem is the operating systems are based on current regulations. The current model uses today's regulations on a water system that won't be built for 15 years and regulatory changes reflecting environmental realities aren't included in the model.

The current draft of the BDCP only addresses the long-term water supply reliability for Southern California. It leaves the northern part of the state extremely vulnerable to future droughts, especially in light of climate change.

It is unacceptable that Folsom Lake water levels be dropped so low that no water is available just to meet regulatory standards that aren't realistic in the future. The draft BDCP shows water levels at Folsom Lake dropping to dead pool more than once every ten years. This means that in one out of every ten years no water would be available to our customers. This is unacceptable and needs to be addressed now as the planning stages of the project are underway.

At dead pool, water cannot drain from the lake through a dam, spillway or power plant intake. The only way to get water from the lake at dead pool is by pumping it out. That severely limits the amount of water we can deliver to our customers.

Experts predict climate change will raise ocean levels and push even more salt water up through the Delta. Based on today's regulations, if this happens water must be released from reservoirs to hold that salt water back, even though there is not enough water to do so. This will drain Folsom Reservoir. The regulations driving the BDCP's operational plan must be addressed to provide a realistic plan for the future.

San Juan Water District's General Manager Shauna Lorance is a member of a task force created by the California Department of Water Resources and Association of California Water Associations. The task force is reviewing and addressing California's water issues to create a statewide solution. The group will complete the plan on July 31 for the governor's consideration. In August, the governor will announce his water plan. It is crucial that the governor's plan be fair and realistic for the entire state.

7/2/2013

**DRAFT** - San Juan Water District – Policy Statements



## Folsom Lake Levels

***The Bureau of Reclamation is gambling with our water. This year Reclamation will drop Folsom Lake to extremely low levels; so low that if next year is a dry year we may not be able to provide water to you and your family. We may need your help.***

The Bureau of Reclamation manages the water level of Folsom Lake, often using Folsom as a “first responder” to send water downriver to protect fish and Delta water quality as well as to export south of the Delta. That practice can drop water to critically low levels impacting our district. These levels leave us at risk of not being able to supply our customers with water for daily use, water that we have rights and contracts for with the Bureau of Reclamation. This is unacceptable.

Data shows Reclamation has continued to pull the lake to dangerously low levels in recent years. In December 2013, Reclamation plans on lowering the water to 376 feet above sea level to roughly 241,000 acre-feet. This is less water in the lake than we had in 1976 to 1977 – the worst two-year drought on record.

In 1977, the region saw firsthand what happens when Folsom Lake reaches extreme lows when the lake dropped to 144,000 acre-feet. Since then, Reclamation has dropped the lake to critically low levels and luckily we were saved by spring storms that brought the levels up. With the driest January through May on record, this year could be worse.

Current winter weather forecasts, climate change and water operations are all pointing toward one conclusion: if Reclamation continues to drop the lake as planned until December and we don’t have a wet winter, our customers will be in serious trouble next year. The lake could go to “dead pool,” meaning water levels drop below our ability to get any water from Folsom Lake. That severely limits how much water we can provide to our customers.

Reclamation is leaving no margin for error in this year’s plan. They are counting on a wet winter next year so we can serve our customers the water they need. San Juan is working vigorously on behalf of customers to identify solutions in the case next year is dry. We are also working to ensure that Reclamation manages Folsom Lake responsibly and doesn’t put our water supply at risk.

7/2/2013

**DRAFT** - San Juan Water District – Policy Statements



## Water Rights

***San Juan's water is in jeopardy. There are multiple plans that will take additional water from Folsom Lake for others to use, leaving little water in an already low lake for us.***

San Juan Water District has worked vigorously to negotiate and protect our legal rights to water, some dating back more than 150 years. However, water rights don't matter when our district can't get the water we are entitled to out of Folsom Lake. The issue of water availability is a very serious concern this year and in coming years.

San Juan Water District's initial water rights date back more than 150 years. Today, we have claim to 82,200 acre-feet of water annually, subject to some reductions in dry years. This water is the only water source available to us. We are battling to retain this water for our customers. We need this water to store for use during dry years and to increase reliability of water supply for our customers.

More importantly, we are battling to maintain water availability in Folsom Lake as the Bureau of Reclamation releases water to dangerously low levels. As the federal agency overseeing Folsom Lake operations, Reclamation can release as much water as they see fit. Reliability and availability of water supply is an economic asset for our customers. Reduction in either is a taking of property that belongs to you, our customers.

San Juan has worked to ensure enough water is available now and for future years through protection of water rights and negotiated contracts.

Reclamation has brought Folsom Lake to critically low levels in past years because Folsom Lake is considered to be the first responder when water is needed down river and in the Delta. In the past, heavy rains the following year have saved us. There will be a year where this will not happen.

San Juan Water District and its customers should not be penalized by the Bureau of Reclamation for the benefit of others when we have legal rights to the water. We are fighting to protect our customers' water rights and access to that water.

# AGENDA ITEM V-1.2

## San Juan Water District

### RESOLUTION 13-13

#### **RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF SAN JUAN WATER DISTRICT IN SUPPORT OF THE NOMINATION OF JOHN COLEMAN AS A CANDIDATE FOR THE POSITION OF ACWA PRESIDENT**

WHEREAS, ACWA has announced that a Nominating Committee has been formed to develop a slate for the Association's statewide positions of President and Vice President; and

WHEREAS, the individual who fills an officer position will need to have a working knowledge of water industry issues and concerns, possess strength of character and leadership capabilities, and be experienced in matters related to the performance of the duties of the office; and

WHEREAS, this person must be able to provide the dedication of time and energy to effectively serve in this capacity; and

WHEREAS, John Coleman has served in a leadership role as a member of the East Bay Municipal Utility District Board of Directors since 1990; and

WHEREAS, John Coleman has served in a leadership role as ACWA Vice President since December 2011 and was appointed chair of ACWA's Federal Affairs Committee in December 2009 and is the immediate past chair of ACWA's Federal Affairs Committee; and

WHEREAS, John Coleman also serves on the Board of Directors of the Contra Costa Council, the National Water Resources Association (NWRA), and the WaterReuse Association; and

WHEREAS, John Coleman served on ABAG's CALFED Task Force, the Advisory Council for California Forward, and chaired its Water and Land Use Subcommittee; and

WHEREAS, John Coleman served Governors Wilson and Davis as Deputy Director of External Affairs for the California Conservation Corps; and

WHEREAS, John Coleman is a past president of the board of the California Association of Sanitation Agencies and currently chairs the Upper Mokelumne River Watershed Authority and the Freeport Regional Water Authority; and

WHEREAS, it is the opinion of the San Juan Water District Board of Directors that John Coleman possesses all of the qualities needed to fulfill the duties of the office of ACWA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the San Juan Water District Board of Directors wholeheartedly supports John Coleman for nomination as a candidate for the office of ACWA President, pledging the District's support of his endeavors in fulfilling the duties of this office if elected.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Directors of the San Juan Water District at a regular meeting of said Board held on the 10th day of July 2013, by the following vote:

|         |            |
|---------|------------|
| AYES:   | DIRECTORS: |
| NOES:   | DIRECTORS: |
| ABSENT: | DIRECTORS: |

---

KENNETH MILLER  
President, Board of Directors

---

TERI HART  
Secretary, Board of Directors

# AGENDA ITEM V-1.5

**From:** [Judy Johnson](#)  
**To:** [Rick Hydrick](#); [Shauna Lorance](#); [Keith Durkin](#); [Teri Hart](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Your SJWD rebate  
**Date:** Friday, June 28, 2013 4:36:49 PM

---

## Customer's feedback below

---

**From:** Tony  
**Sent:** Friday, June 28, 2013 4:30 PM  
**To:** Judy Johnson  
**Subject:** Re: Your SJWD rebate

Hi Judy:

Thank you very much for your efforts. We are always impressed with the efficiency of SJWD.

Tony

On Jun 27, 2013, at 4:47 PM, Judy Johnson wrote:

Tony,

Thank you for participating in our toilet rebate program! A \$125 credit has been applied to your account and will appear on your August statement.

Judy Johnson | Customer Service Manager  
San Juan Water District | [sjwd.org](http://sjwd.org)  
Main: 916-791-0115 | Direct: 916-791-6908  
<image001.jpg>Like San Juan Water District on [Facebook!](#)

<image002.gif>

# AGENDA ITEM V-5.3 DRAFT

## **San Juan Water District Executive Committee Meeting Minutes June 25, 2013, 1:00 p.m.**

### **MEMBER ATTENDEES**

|                |   |
|----------------|---|
| David Kane     | Citrus Heights Water District Assistant General Manager |
| Randy Marx     | Fair Oaks Water District Director                       |
| Tom Gray       | Fair Oaks Water District General Manager                |
| Todd Eising    | City of Folsom  |
| Victor Salle   | Orange Vale Water Company Director                      |
| Sharon Wilcox  | Orange Vale Water Company General Manager               |
| Neil Schild    | Sacramento Suburban Water District Director             |
| Kevin Thomas   | Sacramento Suburban Water District Director             |
| Rob Roscoe     | Sacramento Suburban Water District General Manager      |
| Ted Costa      | San Juan Water District Director                        |
| Ken Miller     | San Juan Water District Director                        |
| Shauna Lorance | San Juan Water District General Manager                 |
| Teri Hart      | San Juan Water District Board Secretary                 |

### **AGENDA ITEMS**

- I. Call to Order**
- II. Visitors**
- III. Public Comment**
- IV. Review Agenda**
- V. Review Minutes**
- VI. Old Business**
- VII. New Business**
- VIII. Standing Items**
- IX. Other Items**
- X. Future Meeting Dates**
- XI. Adjournment**

#### **I. Call to Order**

Ms. Lorance called the meeting to order at 1:04 p.m., since neither the Chair nor the Vice Chair had arrived. Director Marx chaired the meeting upon his arrival.

#### **II. Visitors**

There were no visitors.

### **III. Public Comment**

There was no public comment.

### **IV. Review Agenda**

There were no changes to the agenda.

### **V. Review Executive Committee Meeting Minutes**

The minutes of the April 23, 2013 meeting were unanimously approved.

### **VI. Old Business**

#### **◆ Wholesale Joint Board Briefing**

Ms. Lorance informed the committee that this will be a joint meeting of the wholesale customer agencies in order to provide a brief overview of water issues. The meeting is intended to cover items which affect the District, and ultimately the wholesale customer agencies. The target meeting date will be the last week of August and will be confirmed via email once the SJWD Board sets the date. The City of Folsom and SSWD have conflicts on August 27<sup>th</sup>.

#### **◆ Wholesale Water Supply Agreements**

Ms. Lorance reported that SJWD Retail, CHWD, FOWD, OVWC and City of Folsom are meeting every other Wednesday to discuss the Wholesale Water Supply Agreements. Ms. Lorance pointed out that the agencies must all agree on revisions, if any, otherwise the contracts will not be revised.

#### **◆ Current Water Supply Outlook**

Ms. Lorance conducted a brief presentation on Folsom Reservoir Storage, which showed the lake levels during the dry year of 1977 and the existing year. She explained that the graphs depict the typical operations of the reservoir. From 1960 through 1986, it was evident that the reservoir was kept between 600,000-1,000,000 AF (except for the drought in 1976-77, when it dropped to 140,000 AF). However from 1987 through 2012, the reservoir was operated on a more drastic basis, with many years dropping close to 200,000 AF. The graphs provide a historical perspective on how the reservoir is operated, and why there are concerns with the operations.

Ms. Lorance informed the committee that the Bureau is traveling the state and meeting with contractors to discuss the Bureau's concerns with the upcoming year. Their concerns are that this past January through May has been the driest on record at 9.4" of rainfall, as compared to 1976-77 at 12" each; at the beginning of March we were half way through the snowmelt; and the snowpack is less than half of normal. In addition, with a dry environment, the first rainfalls in the fall will most likely be absorbed into the environment and not run off into the reservoir. The Bureau is predicting Folsom Lake level at 240,000 AF in November, as compared to entering 1976-77 at 400,000 AF. The Bureau stated in their presentations that water releases during dry years are for environmental purposes. It is expected to be an exceedingly dry year this year.

Mr. Gray commented on a 2004 WaterGram article that reported on the warm water conditions and Folsom Lake's low level. The article provided a complete



overview to the public regarding that water releases by the USBR are for environmental purposes. Mr. Roscoe mentioned that in 2004 the Jones Tract levee broke and the Bureau increased flows in order to keep the salt water out of the export pumps.

Mr. Gray commented that the SJWD Family of Agencies put together a Water Shortage Statement of Principles in 2006 as part of developing a formal agreement. He indicated that the document outlines a shortage plan for use during dry years and also suggests that a shortage policy be developed and included in the Wholesale Water Supply Agreements. Ms. Lorance commented that the District has been asking for well production capacity from the wholesale customer agencies. She explained that once she receives this information from CHWD and FOWD then she can figure out the potential amount of water available. The agencies agreed to supply Ms. Lorance with the requested information by June 28<sup>th</sup>.

Mr. Roscoe commented that the Bureau's concern isn't just at Folsom – there is potential that they will not be able to meet their temperature requirements, and they are addressing those concerns with various contractors. He commented that if it's hotter than normal this summer then in the fall the Bureau will be operating to fishery standards and not operating to water supply standards.

Mr. Roscoe mentioned that he attended the Mountain Counties Association meeting and Paul Helliker, DWR Deputy Director, Delta and Statewide Water Management, conducted a presentation. Mr. Helliker's point was to try to explain that BDCP does not harm the region and that it is a good thing, even though the modeling shows that Folsom drops to dead pool 5% of the time. Ms. Lorance mentioned that modeling without BDCP shows that Folsom drops to dead pool 10-12% of the time. She explained that the modeling does not show levels less than dead pool and, in addition, it indicates that zero water will be delivered.

Director Costa commented that the District has certain water rights and agreements which adhere to those water rights, and it is his opinion that if the Bureau cannot adhere to the contract to deliver those water supplies then the Bureau should cover the cost for the solution, such as wells or obtaining additional water supply. The committee discussed the Bureau's obligation to adhere to the contract including Term 14 issues which requires them to deliver water to the area of origin prior to delivering water outside this service area.

Director Miller commented that he would like a change in the use of the term "dead pool." The agencies know what this means, however, to a customer they might not know. He suggested that another term or phrase be used such as "out of water" or "water outage."

The committee discussed the availability of water for use during emergency situations. Once Ms. Lorance receives the information regarding well production capacity, she will work on the data to determine what the availability is for the wholesale customer agencies and plans to have this completed by July 12<sup>th</sup> provided the well data is received.

◆ **SJWD & SSWD Joint Board Meeting**

Mr. Roscoe reported that the Joint Board meeting between SJWD and SSWD was held on June 18<sup>th</sup>. The boards referred the draft RFP for the Phase 1 Study and MOA for cost sharing to the 2x2 Water Management Ad Hoc Committee for revisions. The boards agreed to have staff pose questions to Legal Counsel to obtain statement of facts on the difference of CSD versus County Water Agency, various options for mergers, assumptions of service area, consolidations or annexations, and places of use regarding water rights. The next Joint Board meeting is August 20<sup>th</sup> and the next 2x2 Water Management Ad Hoc Committee meeting is being set for the week of July 8<sup>th</sup>.

Mr. Gray inquired why the work is not being done first to determine water supply availability before working with SSWD on a possible consolidation. Ms. Lorance explained that water supply management, increasing the reliability of surface water by utilizing more water, and setting up groundwater substitution transfers to offset costs are all driving discussions and not just a water shortage plan. In addition, she reiterated that water supply availability will be determined once the well production capacity information is received.

◆ **SSWD Pump Back Project**

Mr. Roscoe reported that CHWD, SSWD and SJWD met to discuss the Pump Back Project. He explained that a couple years ago RWA was developing grant applications for projects such as this. SSWD worked with CHWD to provide numbers regarding the pump back project. The question on the table is which agency does SSWD contract with regarding this project – SJWD or CHWD. The participants of the meeting will continue discussions since it is important to move on this as there is a deadline for using the grant that RWA secured for the project.

**VII. New Business**

There were no items discussed under New Business.

**VIII. Standing Items (as needed)**

**Groundwater Pumping Update**

SSWD – Pumping 100% groundwater.

CHWD – Pumping 1 well per week and rotating between 5 wells at about 20 AF per month.

FOWD – Pumping on target to keep at 10% of total water supply on an annual basis.

**Agency Updates**

Each agency provided a brief update on operations and the status of ongoing capital improvements projects.

Sacramento Suburban Water District:

Mr. Roscoe reported that SSWD demands are down overall. At this time SSWD is at 19% on the 20% by 2020 goal. SSWD is expecting to begin a groundwater substitution water transfer on July 1<sup>st</sup> pending approval from the SWRCB for the temporary change in the place of use.

Citrus Heights Water District:

Mr. Kane reported that CHWD is working on a 6<sup>th</sup> groundwater well project on property that they are purchasing from San Juan Unified School District. He anticipates the well online in late 2014 with a production forecast of 800-1,000 GPM. CHWD is working on a long-range financial plan and will hold a special Board meeting next week with the consultant.

Fair Oaks Water District:

Mr. Gray reported that FOWD has a well site that is ready to drill and a tank site on the border of FOWD, CHWD and OVWC. Mr. Gray reported that demand has increased the last couple of months, however, FOWD is within 10 AF of where they were last year at this time.

Mr. Gray attended the BMP 1.4 conservation pricing workshop which was very informative. Some highlights of the meeting that Chris Brown summarized were that the business model doesn't fit all and that the Canadian model needs revisions or an alternate model needs to be used. Mr. Roscoe commented that he is advocating to use what works for an agency, just do not mandate it for the whole state since it does not work for everyone. The committee discussed the 20x2020 mandate and agreed that if an agency meets that requirement then they should not be required to adhere to the BMPs.

Orange Vale Water Company:

No report.

San Juan Water District:

No report.

City of Folsom:

Mr. Eising reported that Marcus Yasutake was appointed as the Director of Environmental & Water Resources for the City of Folsom.

**IX. Other Items**

There were no other items discussed.

**X. Future Meeting Dates**

- ◆ July 23, 2013
- ◆ August 27, 2013

**XI. Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned at 2:25 p.m.