From: Amber Beckler
To: Teri Grant

Cc: Jennifer Buckman; Demers. Steve; Stephen Ehnat; Sandra H. Harris

Subject: Public Input with Proposed Map of SJWD Division Boundaries

Date: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 3:40:12 PM

Attachments: 2020 SJWD Division Based Elections Draft Map Boundaries - August 25 - Submitted by Amber Beckler.pdf

2020 SJWD Division Based Elections Draft Map Boundaries with Population Density - August 25 - Submitted by

Amber Beckler.pdf

Importance: High

Hi Teri,

Please accept this email and associated attachments as part of the public input process for the San Juan Water District - Division Based elections process. Also, if you can share with the Board members and the demographer ahead of the Thursday public workshop, I would greatly appreciate it.

Attached are two pdfs containing a proposal for division-based boundaries for the San Juan Water District (wholesale). One PDF contains the proposed boundaries with the population summaries, census blocks and the community boundaries. The other PDF is for reference and contains the proposed boundaries with population density (based on 2010 modified census block data from Steve) which I used as input for the divisions.

Based on my understanding, I believe the attached proposed division boundaries meet the CVRA guidelines outlined in the August 5th presentation and referenced via CA site online as well as input from residents of Granite Bay. Given the complexity of all the requirements, care was taken to account for these requirements AND to ensure that Granite Bay has fair representation since we do not have a separate retail service district. As mentioned in my first letter, the water use requirements can be much different for rural Residential Ag properties (with allowable use such as hobby farms, wineries/breweries, etc.) found in much of Granite Bay and portions of Orangevale as compared to the water needs in suburban or more dense neighborhoods found in Citrus Heights, Fair Oaks and Folsom. Therefore, while the land use alone might not necessitate consideration, the shared economic interest surrounding these different needs in rural areas, should be considered as a community of interest.

Based on my understanding and best effort, the proposed divisions meet the legal considerations and principles outlined:

- Reasonably Equal Populations (average +/- 10%)
- Contiguous Divisions
- No Racial Gerrymandering avoided packing, cracking or dilution
- Respect Communities of Interest political/community, shared economic interest
- Compact Divisions

Given the population distribution, the best care was taken to maintain existing political

community boundaries where possible; however, there are cases (eg. Orangevale) where an existing community might not be self-contained in one voting division. This was due to the need to meet the population requirements as well as the shared economic interest across our diverse wholesale district.

Please let me know if you have any questions and if you would like my underlying mapping files (shapefiles). I do plan to attend the public workshop on Thursday and look forward to seeing this work be integrated into the final boundaries.

Thanks again for all of your help,

Amber Beckler

8970 Benton Acre Rd Granite Bay, CA 95746 530-210-3787



